

ReviewCARDINALITY

\*1. Let  $S$  be the set of odd integers (both positive and negative). Show that  $S$  is countable.

SOLN:

• METHOD 1: We know  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable, and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ .  
So,  $S$  is countable.

• METHOD 2: Define a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$  by:

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} -2n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We need to show that  $f$  is a bijection.

Starting with injectivity:

Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $f(n) = f(m)$ .

If  $n$  is odd, then  $f(n) > 0$ , and so  $f(m) > 0$ .  
Since  $-2p-1 < 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $m$  is odd. So,  $n = f(n) = f(m) = m$ .

If  $n$  is even, then  $f(n) = -n-1 < 0$ . Since  $f(m) = f(n)$ ,  $f(m) < 0$ , and so  $m$  is even, and  $-n-1 = -m-1 \Rightarrow n = m$ .

For surjectivity, fix an odd integer  $p$ . If  $p > 0$ , set then  $f(p) = p$ . If  $p < 0$ , then  $f(p+1) = -(p+1)-1 = p$ . (Note that since  $p$  is odd,  $p+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .) □

2

COMPLETENESS AXIOM

#2. Let  $k \geq 0$ , and let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . (bounded below) Show that  $\inf(kS) = k \inf S$ .

SOL'N:

- $kS := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \frac{x}{k} \in S\}$ . (assume  $k > 0$ ).
- $\inf kS$  is the greatest lower bound of  $kS$ .
- Since  $S$  is bounded below,  $\inf S$  exists.
- $\forall x \in S$ , we have  $\inf S \leq x$ .
- $\forall x \in S$ , we have  $k \inf S \leq kx$ .
- $\forall x \in kS$ , we have  $k \inf S \leq x$ .
- Thus  $kS$  is bounded below by  $k \inf S$ , and so  $\inf kS$  exists, with  $k \inf S \leq \inf kS$ .
- If  $k \inf S < \inf kS$ , then  $\exists y$  s.t.  $k \inf S < y < \inf kS$ .
- Then,  $\inf S < \frac{y}{k}$ .
- There exists  $x \in S$  s.t.  $\inf S \leq x < \frac{y}{k}$ , and so  $\frac{y}{k}$  is not a lower bound for  $S$ .
- So,  $y$  is not a lower bound of  $kS$ .
- This contradicts  $y < \inf kS$ .
- If  $k=0$ , then  $kS = \{0\}$ , and  $\inf(kS) = k \inf S$  is immediate.  $\square$

TOPOLOGY OF R

#3. a) Let  $S \subseteq R$ . Is it true that  $\overline{\text{int}(S)} = \bar{S}$ ?

If no, prove it; otherwise, give a counterexample.

Sol'n:

This is false. Consider  $S = \{\frac{1}{n} | n \in N\}$ .

Then  $\bar{S} = S \cup \{0\}$ . But  $\text{int}(S) = \emptyset$ , and so

$$\overline{\text{int}(S)} = \emptyset \neq S \cup \{0\} = \bar{S}.$$

b) Is it true that  $\text{int}(\overline{\text{int}(S)}) = \text{int}(\bar{S})$ ?

Sol'n:

This is true. Let  $K$  be a closed set (in place of  $\bar{S}$ ). Let  $x \in \text{int}(S)$ . Then

If  $\text{int}K = \emptyset$ , then  $\text{int}(\overline{\text{int}K}) = \emptyset$ , and we are done.

If  $\emptyset \neq \text{int}K$ , then fix  $x \in \text{int}K$ . Then,  $\exists$  a nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  s.t.  $U \subseteq \text{int}K \subseteq \overline{\text{int}K}$ .

So,  $\exists$  a nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  contained in  $\overline{\text{int}K}$ , so  $x \in \text{int}(\overline{\text{int}K})$ .

On the other hand, if  $x \in \text{int}(\overline{\text{int}K})$ , then  $\exists$  nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\overline{\text{int}K}$ . So, every point of  $U$  is in  $\text{int}K$  or in  $\text{bdy}(\text{int}K)$ .

~~If  $x \in \text{int}K$ , we are done. If  $x \in \text{bdy}(\text{int}K)$ , then  $\forall$  nbhd  $V$  of  $x$ ,  $V \cap \text{int}K \neq \emptyset$ , and  $V \cap (R \setminus \text{int}K) \neq \emptyset$ .~~

Let  $V=U$ . Then, every point of  $U$  is an accumulation pt of  $\text{int}K$  (since  $\text{int}K$  has no isolated pts).

In particular,  $U \subseteq K$ . Hence,  $x \in \text{int}K$ . □

4

SEQUENCES

\*4. Consider the sequence  $(\frac{x^n}{n!})$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is fixed,  $n > 0$ .

(a) Is the sequence monotone for any  $x$ ? Which one?

(b) Does the sequence converge? If so, to what?

HINT: initially assume that  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

SOLN:

(a). If  $x < 0$ , then the sequence alternates, and so is not monotone. If  $x = 0$ , it is constant, and so monotone. If  $x = 1$ , it is the sequence  $(\frac{1}{n!})$ , which is monotone.

For  $x \in (0, 1)$ , 
$$\left(\frac{x^n}{n!}\right) / \left(\frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}\right) = \frac{n+1}{x}$$

Since  $n+1 > 1$ , we have  $\frac{n+1}{x} > 1$  for all such  $x$ .

Hence,  $\frac{x^n}{n!} > \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$  for all such  $x$ .

In fact, this proof works  $\forall x > 0$  s.t.  $\frac{n+1}{x} \geq 1$ .

That is  $2 \geq x$ . Hence, the sequence is monotone for all  $x \in [0, 2]$ . If  $x \geq 2$ , then

$$\frac{x}{1!} / \frac{x^2}{2!} = \frac{2}{x} < 1$$
 However, by A.P.,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$n > x$ , and so  $\frac{n+1}{x} > 1$ , and so the sequence is not monotone.

(b). If  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , then 
$$\frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{x^n}{x!} \left(\frac{x}{(x+1)!} \dots \frac{x}{(x+n-1)!}\right)^x$$
 for any  $n > x$   

$$\leq \frac{x^n}{x!} \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$$
 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $k \geq |x|$  by A.P.

Then,  $\left|\frac{x^n}{n!} - 0\right| \leq \frac{k^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$ , and so  $\frac{|x|^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$ .

But  $\frac{|x|^n}{n!} = \left|\frac{x^n}{n!} - 0\right|$ , and so  $\frac{x^n}{n!} \rightarrow 0$ . □

SEQUENCES CONT.

#5. A sequence  $(s_n)$  is contractive if  $\exists k \in (0,1) \exists \epsilon > 0$ .  
 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, |s_{n+2} - s_{n+1}| \leq k |s_{n+1} - s_n|$ . Show that every such sequence converges.

sol'n:

It is enough to show that it is Cauchy.  
Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Note:  $|s_{n+2} - s_{n+1}| \leq k |s_{n+1} - s_n| \leq k^2 |s_n - s_{n-1}|$ , etc.

Hence,  $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , (wlog,  $n > m$ ),

$$|s_m - s_n| \leq |s_n - s_{n-1}| + |s_{n-1} - s_{n-2}| + \dots + |s_{m+1} - s_m|$$

$$\leq k^{n-m} |s_{m+1} - s_m| + k^{n-m} |s_{m+1} - s_m| + \dots + k^0 |s_{m+1} - s_m|$$

by  $\Delta$ -ineq.

$$\leq \frac{1 - k^{n+2-m}}{1-k} |s_{m+1} - s_m|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{1-k} |s_{m+1} - s_m|$$

Since  $k \in (0,1)$  Need  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  st.  $k^N < \frac{\epsilon(1-k)}{|s_1 - s_0|} =: A$ .  
That is,  $(\frac{1}{k})^N > \frac{1}{A}$ . That is,  $N > \frac{\ln \frac{1}{A}}{\ln \frac{1}{k}} = \frac{\ln A}{\ln k}$ .

This exists by the A.P. Fix such an  $N$ .

Then  $|s_n - s_m| \leq \frac{1}{1-k} |s_{m+1} - s_m| \leq \frac{1}{1-k} k^N |s_{m+1} - s_m|$

$$\leftarrow \epsilon, \forall n, m$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{1-k} k^N k^{m-N} |s_1 - s_0|$$
$$< k^{m-N} \epsilon < \epsilon$$

So,  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy. □

actually,  
this argument  
can be made  
much shorter

①

CONTINUITY

#6. Show that  $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -x & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$  is continuous at  $x=0$ , but discontinuous elsewhere.

Sol'n:

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We want  $\delta > 0$  s.t. if  $|x-0| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - 0| < \varepsilon$ . Note that  $|f(x) - 0| = |x|$  for all  $x$ . Thus, set  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Then if  $|x| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - 0| < \varepsilon$ .

Fix  $x_0 \neq 0$ . Assume  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Set  $\varepsilon = 2|x_0|$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\exists$  irrationals in  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . Fix such an irrational number  $y$  (for a fixed  $\delta$ ) such that  $|y + x_0| > 2|x_0|$ . Then,  $|f(y) - f(x_0)| = |-y - x_0| = |y + x_0| > 2|x_0| = \varepsilon$ .

A similar proof works for  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

#7. Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be compact, and  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a cont. injection. Show that  $f^{-1}: f(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.

HINT: use the fact that if  $D$  is closed, then a function  $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is cont. iff  $f^{-1}(K)$  is closed for all closed sets  $K$ .

Sol'n: Since  $D$  is cpat and  $f$  is cont,  $f(D)$  is cpat. Thus  $f(D)$  is closed. Fix a closed set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(K) = f(K \cap D)$ . We claim that  $K \cap D$  is cpat, from which we conclude  $f(K \cap D)$  is cpat, hence closed. Now,  $K \cap D$  is closed, and contained in  $D$ . Since  $D$  is cpat, it is bounded. Thus  $K \cap D$  is bd'd. By Heine-Borel,  $K \cap D$  is cpat, which proves the claim.  $\square$

DIFFERENTIATION & INTEGRATION

#8. Let  $F(x) = \int_0^x f$ , where  $f: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is cont.  
 Show that  $|F(x)| \leq b \max\{|f(x)| \mid x \in [0, b]\}$  for all  $x \in [0, b]$ ,  
 using (a) the mean-value theorem, and (b) using ~~the~~  
~~of~~ integration techniques.

SOLN:

(a). By the fundamental th'm,  $F: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  
 diff, with  $F'(x) = f(x)$ .

By the mean-value th'm,  $\exists c \in [0, b]$  s.t.

$$F(x) - F(0) = f(c)(x - 0).$$

Since  $F(0) = 0$ , we have

$$|F(x)| = |f(c)| |x|.$$

Since  $|f(c)| \leq \max\{|f(x)| \mid x \in [0, b]\}$  and  $|x| \leq b$ ,  
 we have  $|F(x)| \leq \max\{ \dots \} b$ .  $\square$

(b).  $|F(x)| = \left| \int_0^x f \right| \leq \int_0^x |f| \leq \int_0^x |f| + \int_x^b |f|$   
 $\leq \int_0^b |f| \leq \int_0^b \max\{|f(x)| \mid x \in [0, b]\}$   
 $= b \max\{|f(x)| \mid x \in [0, b]\}$ .  $\square$

#9. (a) Let  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be cont. Prove (carefully)  
 that  $\int_0^1 f(\sqrt{1-x^2}) dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} f(\cos \theta) \cos \theta d\theta$ .

SOLN:

Note that  $\sin \theta$  is diff on  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ , and its derivative  
 $\cos \theta$  is integrable on  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Then the change of  
 variable formula guarantees that  $\int_0^1 f(\sqrt{1-x^2}) dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} f(\cos \theta) \cos \theta d\theta$ .

8

① Prove (carefully) that if  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is cont.,  $g: (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is cont.

$$\int_a^b f(\tan \theta) \sec^2 \theta g(\theta) d\theta = \int_c^d f(x) g(\arctan x) dx$$

where  $\tan a = c$  and  $\tan b = d$ , and  $[a, b] \subseteq (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

Soln. We want to apply the change-of-variable formula. To do this we need to set  $x = \tan \theta$ , and show that  $\tan \theta$  is diff with derivative  $\sec^2 \theta$ , which is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

To get  $\cos \theta$  to be diff, we need to show

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos(\theta + h) - \cos \theta}{h} \text{ exists, and is equal to } -\sin \theta.$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos h \cos \theta - \sin h \sin \theta - \cos \theta}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \cos \theta \frac{(\cos h - 1)^0}{h} - \left( \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin h}{h} \right) \sin \theta = -\sin \theta.$$

Hence,  $\tan \theta$  is diff on  $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , as is  $\sec^2 \theta$  on  $[a, b]$ .

Thus,  $\sec^2 \theta$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . We now can apply the change-of-variable formula.

Alternatively, set  $\theta = \arctan x$ . Since  $\tan x$  is diff and injective on  $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and  $\frac{d}{dx} \tan x = \sec^2 x$ , which is non-zero on  $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , we have by the inverse function theorem that  $\arctan x$  is differentiable with integrable derivative  $\frac{1}{1+x^2}$ , which is cont., hence integrable. Thus, we may use the change-of-variables formula in the other direction.

□



#10. For which  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  does the following integral converge?  
 $\int_0^1 x^\alpha dx$

Sol'n

For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we have  $\int_0^1 x^\alpha dx = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} x^{\alpha+1} \Big|_0^1 = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}$ .

For  $\alpha \in (-1, 0)$ , we have  $\int_0^1 x^\alpha dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \int_c^1 x^\alpha dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\alpha+1} x^{\alpha+1} \Big|_c^1$   
 $= \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} (1 - c^{\alpha+1}) = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}$

For  $\alpha = -1$ , we have  $\int_0^1 x^{-1} dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \int_c^1 x^{-1} dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \ln x \Big|_c^1$   
 $= +\infty$ .

For  $\alpha < -1$ , we have  $\int_0^1 x^\alpha dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \int_c^1 x^\alpha dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \Big|_c^1$   
 $= +\infty$ .

Thus,  $\int_0^1 x^\alpha dx$  converges for  $\alpha \in (-1, \infty)$ , and diverges to  $\infty$  otherwise.

#11. Find  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{1/x}$

Sol'n:

Assume  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{1/x}$  exists. Then,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{1/x} = e^{\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \ln x^{1/x}}$

since  $e^x$  is cont. But  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \ln x^{1/x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \ln x$ .

By L'Hopital, this is equal to  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/x}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^2} = 0$ .

Hence, obtain  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{1/x} = e^0 = 1$ .

